

NSSE 2022 Topical Module Report Experiences with Writing

Regent University

This module is the result of an ongoing collaboration between NSSE and the Council of Writing Program Administrators. The questions touch on three aspects of good writing assignments—interactivity, meaning-making, and clarity. It complements questions on the core survey about how much writing students do, the nature of their course assignments, and perceived gains in written expression.

Comparison group details are in the online Selected Comparison Groups report, linked in the Data & Reports table on the Institution Interface. A PDF copy is also saved in your report download folder.

Prepared 2022-08-03 IPEDS: 231651

This page intentionally left blank.



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Regent University

First-Year Students

				Frequen	cy Di	stributio Writing Experience		Statistical (Comparisons b Writing Experiences	
Item wording or description	Variable	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	44	Effect size ^d
- -	name		· · ·			Count	70	weun	Mean	3126
1. During the current school year, f	-	_	= -			760	10			
Talked with a classmate, friend, or family member to develop your	WRI01a	1	No writing assignments	53	26	769	12			
ideas before starting your		2	Few writing assignments	50	23	1,629	25	2.6		
assignment		3	Some writing assignments	55	27	2,376	35	2.6	2.8 **	25
		4	Most writing assignments	32	15 9	1,538	21			
		5	All writing assignments	19		439	6			
1 D : 10 H 10	WDIOII	1	Total	209	100	6,751	100			
 Received feedback from a classmate, friend, or family 	WRI01b	1	No writing assignments	52	26	753	12			
member about a draft before		2	Few writing assignments	49	23	1,429	22			
turning in your final assignment		3	Some writing assignments	44	20	2,146	32	2.7	3.0 ***	29
		4	Most writing assignments	43	20	1,759	26			
		5	All writing assignments	20	10	627	9			
			Total	208	100	6,714	100			
c. Given feedback to a classmate	WRI01c	1	No writing assignments	102	52	947	14			
about a draft or outline		2	Few writing assignments	37	16	1,494	22			
		3	Some writing assignments	44	21	2,303	34	2.0	2.9 ***	79
		4	Most writing assignments	15	6	1,537	22		▼	
		5	All writing assignments	9	5	463	7			
			Total	207	100	6,744	100			
d. Summarized material you read such	WRI01d	1	No writing assignments	17	10	400	6			
as articles, books, or online publications		2	Few writing assignments	29	15	1,076	17			
publications		3	Some writing assignments	73	35	2,379	35	3.2	3.2	.00
		4	Most writing assignments	58	26	2,237	32			
		5	All writing assignments	30	15	636	9			
			Total	207	100	6,728	100			
e. Analyzed or evaluated something	WRI01e	1	No writing assignments	10	5	248	4			
you read, researched, or observed		2	Few writing assignments	20	9	713	11			
		3	Some writing assignments	49	24	1,968	29	3.6	3.5	.10
		4	Most writing assignments	90	42	2,797	41			
		5	All writing assignments	39	19	1,000	15			
			Total	208	100	6,726	100			
f. Described your methods or	WRI01f	1	No writing assignments	63	31	1,140	16			
findings related to data you		2	Few writing assignments	44	20	1,340	20			
collected in lab or field work, a survey project, etc.		3	Some writing assignments	41	21	2,102	31	2.5	2.9 ***	29
survey project, etc.		4	Most writing assignments	39	19	1,649	26			
		5	All writing assignments	19	9	476	7			
			Total	206	100	6,707	100			
g. Argued a position using evidence	WRI01g	1	No writing assignments	22	12	548	9			
and reasoning	-	2	Few writing assignments	30	15	930	14			
		3	Some writing assignments	64	30	2,108	31	3.2	3.3	08
		4	Most writing assignments	63	30	2,265	33			
		5	All writing assignments	27	13	858	12			
			Total	206	100	6,709	100			



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Regent University

First-Year Students

				Frequency Distributions ^a			Statistical Comparisons			
						Writing			Writing	
				Regent	Regent		es	Regent	Experiences	
	Variable	6					2/		Effect Mean size ^d	
h. Explained in writing the meaning of	name WRI01h	Values ^c	Response options No writing assignments	Count 95	% 48	1,564	22	Mean	Mean size	
numerical or statistical data	WKIUIII	2	Few writing assignments	54	26	1,659	25			
		3	Some writing assignments	37	17	1,941	30	1.9		
		4	Most writing assignments	10	4	1,161	18	1.9	2.6 ***59	
		5	All writing assignments	10	5	379	6		▼	
		3	Total	206	100	6,704	100			
: Wilder in the state of frames of frames	WRI01i	1		42	22		19			
 Written in the style and format of a specific field (engineering, history, 	WKIUII	1	No writing assignments			1,235				
psychology, etc.)		2	Few writing assignments	36	20	1,301	19	2.0		
		3	Some writing assignments	40	19	1,923	29	3.0	2.9 .09	
		4	Most writing assignments	45	20	1,570	23			
		5	All writing assignments	44	20	674	10			
			Total	207	100	6,703	100			
 j. Addressed a real or imagined audience such as your classmates, 	WRI01j	1	No writing assignments	68	37	1,172	18			
a politician, non-experts, etc.		2	Few writing assignments	43	21	1,381	20			
		3	Some writing assignments	48	21	2,072	31	2.3	2.8 ***41	
		4	Most writing assignments	28	13	1,472	22		▼	
		5	All writing assignments	18	8	600	9			
			Total	205	100	6,697	100			
2. During the current school year, f	or how many	y of your w	vriting assignments have	your instruct	ors do	ne the follo	wing?			
a. Provided clear instructions	WRI02a	1	No writing assignments	7	3	169	3			
describing what they wanted you to		2	Few writing assignments	9	5	487	8			
do		3	Some writing assignments	25	11	1,485	22	4.1	3.8 *** .31	
		4	Most writing assignments	79	37	2,753	40			
		5	All writing assignments	87	44	1,805	27		_	
			Total	207	100	6,699	100			
b. Explained in advance what they	WRI02b	1	No writing assignments	6	3	247	4			
wanted you to learn		2	Few writing assignments	12	6	726	11			
		3	Some writing assignments	33	15	1,826	27	4.1	3.6 *** .42	
		4	Most writing assignments	60	28	2,299	33		3.0 .42	
		5	All writing assignments	93	47	1,602	25		_	
			Total	204	100	6,700	100			
c. Explained in advance the criteria	WRI02c	1	No writing assignments	4	2	188	3			
they would use to grade your		2	Few writing assignments	6	3	492	7			
assignment		3	Some writing assignments	24	12	1,423	21	4.4	3.9 *** .47	
		4	Most writing assignments	48	22	2,360	34	7.7	3.9 ** .47	
		5	All writing assignments	122	62	2,242	34		_	
		5	Total	204	100	6,705	100			
			10111	204	100	0,703	100			



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Regent University

Seniors

				Frequency Di		stributio	ns ^a	Statistical Comp		parisons ^b	
				Regent		Writing Experiences		Regent	Writing Experiences		
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d	
1. During the current school year, f	or how many	writing a	ssignments have you do	ne the followi	ing?						
a. Talked with a classmate, friend, or	WRI01a	1	No writing assignments	56	20	1,088	14				
family member to develop your		2	Few writing assignments	80	28	1,980	24				
ideas before starting your assignment		3	Some writing assignments	81	28	2,917	34	2.6	2.8 **	17	
assignment		4	Most writing assignments	46	16	1,823	21				
		5	All writing assignments	23	8	622	7				
			Total	286	100	8,430	100				
b. Received feedback from a	WRI01b	1	No writing assignments	65	23	1,346	17				
classmate, friend, or family member about a draft before		2	Few writing assignments	83	29	2,027	25				
turning in your final assignment		3	Some writing assignments	80	28	2,606	31	2.5	2.8 ***	23	
turining in your rinar assignment		4	Most writing assignments	38	13	1,798	21				
		5	All writing assignments	17	6	623	7				
			Total	283	100	8,400	100				
c. Given feedback to a classmate	WRI01c	1	No writing assignments	128	45	1,633	20				
about a draft or outline		2	Few writing assignments	67	23	2,080	25				
		3	Some writing assignments	60	21	2,789	33	2.0	2.6 ***	54	
		4	Most writing assignments	20	7	1,479	17		▼		
		5	All writing assignments	10	4	464	5				
			Total	285	100	8,445	100				
d. Summarized material you read such as articles, books, or online	WRI01d	1	No writing assignments	9	3	537	7				
		2	Few writing assignments	34	12	1,159	14				
publications		3	Some writing assignments	84	30	2,701	32	3.6	3.3 ***	.21	
		4	Most writing assignments	102	36	2,885	34				
		5	All writing assignments	52	19	1,140	13				
			Total	281	100	8,422	100				
e. Analyzed or evaluated something	WRI01e	1	No writing assignments	5	2	331	4				
you read, researched, or observed		2	Few writing assignments	13	5	769	9				
		3	Some writing assignments	54	19	2,146	25	4.0	3.6 ***	.31	
		4	Most writing assignments	131	46	3,506	42				
		5	All writing assignments	82	29	1,674	20				
			Total	285	100	8,426	100				
f. Described your methods or	WRI01f	1	No writing assignments	53	19	1,114	13				
findings related to data you		2	Few writing assignments	60	20	1,519	18				
collected in lab or field work, a survey project, etc.		3	Some writing assignments	65	23	2,408	29	3.0	3.1	11	
survey project, etc.		4	Most writing assignments	61	22	2,425	29				
		5	All writing assignments	44	16	939	11				
			Total	283	100	8,405	100				
g. Argued a position using evidence	WRI01g	1	No writing assignments	22	8	892	10				
and reasoning		2	Few writing assignments	28	10	1,297	15				
		3	Some writing assignments	76	26	2,477	30	3.5	3.2 ***	.25	
		4	Most writing assignments	96	34	2,618	31				
		5	All writing assignments	61	22	1,125	14				
			Total	283	100	8,409	100				



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Regent University

Seniors

				Frequency Dis		istributions ^a Writing		Statistical Compari		
				D				December	Writing Experiences	
				Regent		Experiences		Regent		
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d
h. Explained in writing the meaning of	WRI01h	1	No writing assignments	84	30	1,397	15			
numerical or statistical data		2	Few writing assignments	72	25	1,817	21			
		3	Some writing assignments	80	28	2,549	31	2.4	2.9 ***	44
		4	Most writing assignments	31	11	1,921	24		▼	
		5	All writing assignments	17	6	720	9			
			Total	284	100	8,404	100			
i. Written in the style and format of a	WRI01i	1	No writing assignments	33	12	1,033	12			
specific field (engineering, history,		2	Few writing assignments	20	7	1,119	13			
psychology, etc.)		3	Some writing assignments	44	15	1,956	23	3.8	3.4 ***	.33
		4	Most writing assignments	68	25	2,480	30			
		5	All writing assignments	118	42	1,813	21			
			Total	283	100	8,401	100			
j. Addressed a real or imagined	WRI01j	1	No writing assignments	57	20	1,478	17			
audience such as your classmates,		2	Few writing assignments	50	17	1,774	21			
a politician, non-experts, etc.		3	Some writing assignments	86	30	2,471	29	2.9	2.9	.04
		4	Most writing assignments	45	16	1,814	21			
		5	All writing assignments	46	16	860	11			
			Total	284	100	8,397	100			
2. During the current school year,	or how many	y of your w	vriting assignments have	your instruct	ors do	ne the follo	wing?			
a. Provided clear instructions	WRI02a	1	No writing assignments	8	3	238	3			
describing what they wanted you to		2	Few writing assignments	8	3	560	7			
do		3	Some writing assignments	24	9	1,591	19	4.3	3.9 ***	.38
		4	Most writing assignments	110	38	3,596	43			
		5	All writing assignments	132	48	2,420	29			
			Total	282	100	8,405	100			
b. Explained in advance what they	WRI02b	1	No writing assignments	9	3	347	4			
wanted you to learn		2	Few writing assignments	10	3	814	10			
		3	Some writing assignments	38	13	1,995	24	4.2	3.7 ***	.45
		4	Most writing assignments	92	32	2,996	36			
		5	All writing assignments	135	48	2,245	26			
			Total	284	100	8,397	100			
c. Explained in advance the criteria	WRI02c	1	No writing assignments	9	3	264	3			
they would use to grade your		2	Few writing assignments	4	1	513	6			
assignment		3	Some writing assignments	19	7	1,571	19	4.4	4.0 ***	.46
		4	Most writing assignments	75	26	3,015	36		A	
		5	All writing assignments	177	63	3,038	36			
			Total	284	100	8,401	100			



Detailed Statistics^e Regent University

First-Year Students

						Stan	dard			Effect
	N	Mean		Standa	rd error ^f	devia	ntion ^g	\mathbf{DF}^{h}	Sig.i	size ^d
Variable name	Regent	Regent	Writing Experiences	Regent	Writing Experiences	Regent	Writing Experiences	•	arisons with:	
WRI01a	212	2.58	2.85	.087	.012	1.27	1.09	219	.002	25
WRI01b	211	2.67	2.99	.092	.013	1.33	1.14	218	.000	29
WRI01c	210	1.96	2.85	.082	.013	1.19	1.13	8,021	.000	79
WRI01d	210	3.22	3.22	.080	.012	1.16	1.04	218	.981	.00
WRI01e	211	3.60	3.50	.073	.011	1.06	1.01	7,996	.150	.10
WRI01f	209	2.53	2.87	.092	.013	1.33	1.17	217	.000	29
WRI01g	209	3.17	3.26	.083	.013	1.20	1.12	7,975	.246	08
WRI01h	209	1.92	2.62	.077	.013	1.12	1.17	220	.000	59
WRI01i	210	2.98	2.86	.099	.014	1.44	1.24	217	.241	.09
WRI01j	208	2.35	2.84	.091	.014	1.31	1.21	217	.000	41
WRI02a	210	4.13	3.82	.070	.011	1.02	1.01	7,967	.000	.31
WRI02b	206	4.10	3.64	.074	.012	1.07	1.09	7,958	.000	.42
WRI02c	207	4.39	3.90	.065	.012	0.93	1.06	7,969	.000	.47



Detailed Statistics^e Regent University

Seniors

				Standard						Effect
	N	Mean		Standar	d error ^f	devia	ition ^g	DF ^h	Sig.i	size ^d
Variable name	Regent	Regent	Writing Experiences	Regent	Writing Experiences	Regent	Writing Experiences	•	arisons with:	
WRI01a	287	2.63	2.83	.071	.011	1.20	1.13	299	.007	17
WRI01b	284	2.50	2.77	.069	.011	1.16	1.16	11,395	.000	23
WRI01c	285	2.01	2.62	.067	.011	1.14	1.14	11,453	.000	54
WRI01d	281	3.55	3.33	.061	.010	1.02	1.08	11,418	.001	.21
WRI01e	285	3.96	3.64	.054	.010	0.91	1.03	303	.000	.31
WRI01f	283	2.95	3.08	.080	.011	1.35	1.20	294	.118	11
WRI01g	283	3.51	3.22	.070	.011	1.18	1.18	11,409	.000	.25
WRI01h	284	2.38	2.91	.071	.011	1.19	1.19	11,399	.000	44
WRI01i	283	3.77	3.35	.081	.012	1.36	1.28	11,400	.000	.33
WRI01j	284	2.91	2.86	.079	.012	1.34	1.24	11,395	.521	.04
WRI02a	282	4.25	3.87	.055	.009	0.93	1.00	11,404	.000	.38
WRI02b	284	4.19	3.70	.060	.010	1.00	1.09	301	.000	.45
WRI02c	284	4.43	3.96	.055	.010	0.93	1.04	11,396	.000	.46



Endnotes Regent University

Endnotes

- a. Column percentages are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding. Counts are unweighted; column percentages cannot be replicated from counts. Comparison group details are in the Selected Comparison Groups report, linked in the Data & Reports table on the Institution Interface.
- b. All statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Unless otherwise noted, statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests. Items with categorical response sets are left blank.
- These are the values used to calculate means. For the majority of items, these values match the codes in the data file and codebook.
- d. Effect size for independent t-tests uses Cohen's d; z-tests use Cohen's h.
- Statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Categorical items are not listed.
- The 95% confidence interval for the population mean is equal to the sample mean plus or minus 1.96 times the standard error of the mean.
- A measure of the amount individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
- Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values differ from Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
- Statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests or z-tests. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between your students' mean and that of the students in the comparison group is due to chance.
- Statistical comparison uses z-test to compare the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes" with all who responded
- k. Mean represents the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes."

Key to symbols:



Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.



Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.

Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.



Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

Note: It is important to interpret the direction of differences relative to item wording and your institutional context. You may not see all of these symbols in your report.